

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/774,266	02/06/2004	Jennifer A. Battey	HE0219 8671			
	590 03/30/2005		EXAMINER			
CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS LLC P O BOX 489			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA			
HICKORY, N	C 28603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2839			
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		$\overline{}$		
Office Action Summary		10/774,266		BATTEY ET AL.		(m)		
		Examiner		Art Unit		`		
		Chandrika Prasa	ıd	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory mitiod will apply and will expire atute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 March 2005.						
2a)	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4,24-26 and 30-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,5-23 and 27-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) ob the drawing(s) be held rection is required if the	d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/04.	/08) 5) 🗔	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (Figures 4-6b, claims 1, 3, 5-23 and 27-29) in the reply filed on 03/18/05 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-18, 20-23 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Battey et al. (2002/0150371).

Battey (Figures 1-5) shows an optical connection closure 10 having a base 12 and a cover 37 defining an interior cavity, a plurality of openings 18 on an end wall 16 for receiving a portion of distribution cable 80 and a plurality of connector ports 20 within the external (end) wall 16 for receiving a plurality of optical fibers 90 of the distribution cable and pre-connectorized optical fiber drop cables 88 on the outside of the closure. The closure further comprises a shelf 22 affixed within the interior cavity and a plurality of splice trays for splicing the optical fibers of the distribution cable to a pigtail routed to the connector port. The closure is deployed in an aerial or buried location, is sealed and

pressurized and breathable because of the plurality of the openings. The drop cable can be connected, disconnected or reconfigured without entering the closure. The closure could be mounted on a mid-span of the distribution cable. The optical fiber of the distribution cable is terminated and spliced to a pigtail.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 19 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battey et al. (2002/0150371).

Battey shows all the features of these claims except a connector adapter sleeve disposed in the connector port and base and cover to be breathable and pressurized. Such a feature is well known and widely used in the art of optical fiber connectors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature because it would provide a means to align and support the connector as is well known in the art.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schneider et al. (5495549< Battey et al. (6798967), Smith et al. (6766094).

Art Unit: 2839

Contact Information

8. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasac Primary examiner March 22, 2005